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ABSTRACT

On the territory of the USSR archidiskodont elephants existed in the Pliocene and Eopleistocene. The genus Archidiskodon was represented by a single species with three morphologically and stratigraphically clearly distinct subspecies: Archidiskodon meridionalis meridionalis (Nesti), A. meridionalis tarabunensis Gabunia et Vekua and A. meridionalis tamaniae Dubrovo.

The genus Mammutthus is known from the Pleistocene. In the early Pleistocene it is represented by Mammutthus trogontherii trogontherii (Pohlig), in the lower part of the middle Pleistocene—by M. trogontherii chosariacus Dubrovo, in the upper part of the middle Pleistocene and in the late Pleistocene—by M. primigenius (Birnbaum).

Evolution of the elephants of Archidiskodon-Mammutthus phylogenetic line proceeded in the direction of adaptation to severe climatic conditions and feeding on forage becoming increasingly tough.

Elephants are a very interesting group of mammals which underwent great evolutionary changes in a relatively short period of time. In some two million years there arose not only various species and subspecies but also new genera of the subfamily Elephantinae.

On the territory of the USSR elephants of the Archidiskodon-Mammutthus phylogenetic line existed from the late Pliocene* to the late Pleistocene and palaeoloxodonts lived in the early and middle Pleistocene.

It was considered for a long time that Protelephas planifrons (Falc. et Caut.) existed in the Pliocene of Europe as well as in that of India. It has been established by S. Schaub (1948) however, that in Western Europe there are no remains of this species.

Some jaws and teeth of Elephants known from Moldavia, Sea of Azov coast and the Caucasus were described as belonging to Protelephas planifrons. The absence of this species in Western Europe (Bout, 1960) and the doubts expressed by some investigators concerning the existence of the genus Protelephas in Eastern Europe forced us to reconsider the known data on P. planifrons specimens found in the USSR. Our study (Dubrovo 1964a) of the Soviet collections and literature sources has resulted in referring to A. meridionalis (Nesti) all the remains previously related to P. planifrons, P. cf. planifrons and P. aff. planifrons (Burchak-Abrahamovich, 1951; Gromov; 1948; Pavlov, 1910; Sherstukov, 1954, 1958, and others). Complete number of ridge plates on these teeth is more and the enamel is less thick than it is indicated for P. planifrons while the laminar frequency is greater. The subspecies of P. planifrons described from the Caucasus as P. planifrons groenensis (Sherstukov) is not to be valid. The diagnosis of this subspecies suggested by Sherstukov (1954) includes only general elephantine features. Large complete number of plates and the great laminar frequency on the penultimate and last teeth of "P. planifrons groenensis" suggest their belonging to Archidiskodon and not to P. planifrons.

It was supposed (Nikonov et al. 1971) that P. planifrons might have existed in the late Pliocene of Tajikistan. Extremely fragmentary remains of the elephant from Kuruksay locality were referred to Archidiskodon aff P. planifrons; but the occurrence of the latter in the Pliocene of Tajikistan seems doubtful. The remains apparently belong to the genus Archidiskodon, some teeth, incomplete skull and some bones of a postcranial skeleton of which were known from the late Pliocene deposits of Tajikistan (Belajeva, 1936; Dubrovo, 1963a, 1963b).

The elephants first appeared on the Black Sea Coast in the late Pliocene. Thus, an elephantine skull with dp3 and M3 was unearthed from the lower horizon of the Kryzhanovka locality, near Odessa, which is dated back to the Kuyalnitsky age. The skull was preliminary...
referred to the genus *Palaeoloxodon*—P. cf. *australis* (Gromov et al., 1961) and permitted to suppose the appearance of *Palaeoloxodon* on the territory of the USSR in Pliocene.

The study of teeth structure of the Kryzhanovka specimen however, shows that it cannot belong to *Palaeoloxodon*.

The molars of *Palaeoloxodon* are characterized by a high and narrow crown which distinguishes them from the low and broad teeth of *Archidiskodon*, but the crown of the teeth in the fossil skull from Kryzhanovka is both absolutely and relatively low. The height of M⁴ is 88 mm. and the height/length index of the tooth does not exceed 50%. The narrowness of the crowns which has just been mentioned is only seeming: the absolute width of M⁴ is 67 mm. but the width/length index is about 39% and the width/height index is 76%. The upper molars of *Palaeoloxodon* are considerably higher and narrower. Thus, the width/height index of its teeth is less than 55%. The proportions of M³, complete number of plates (8-9) and their frequency, which is 4, 5-5, make it possible to consider the incomplete Kryzhanovka skull as belonging to *Archidiskodon*.

The elephants of the *Palaeoloxodon* genus are not known from the Pliocene of the USSR.

Of similar geological age seem to be *Archidiskodon* specimens from the Kosjakinsky sand-pit and the Kamyshkovka localities, northern Caucasus, USSR (Gabunia, Vekua, 1967). Also from Pliocene but perhaps from somewhat later deposits the finds of *Archidiskodon* teeth are known on the territory of Moldavia, the coast of the sea of Azov and the Caucasus (Alexeeva, 1965; Dubrovko, Tshepalyga, 1967; Lebedeva, 1974; Pavlov, 1910, and others).

Apart from a great number of localities known to have yielded single remains of *A. meridionalis*, in the USSR there are two localities, Khapry and Liventsovka, which have already given rich material on late Pliocene *Archidiskodon*. The Upper-Akhchayian sands are exposed on these both localities, which are near the city Rostov-on-Don. Plentiful material obtained from here during a series of years made it possible to carry out detailed study of fossil mammals remains of the Khaprovian Faunal complex (Alexeeva, 1961, 1965; Bayguscheva, 1971; Dubrovko, Bayguscheva, 1964; Gromov, 1948; Gromova, 1948, and others). The Proboscidians from this locality were originally referred by Gromov (1948: 451) to "an already highly evolved form of *E. planifrons* which properly speaking can be considered even as a primitive *A. meridionalis*.

Scientific treatment* of a large series of penultimate and last molars of the elephant from Khapry and Liventsovka sandpits made it possible to establish that all these remains belong to a single species. The variational curves of all main diagnostic characters plotted for the elephant teeth from these localities are distinctly monopapical (Dubrovo, Bayguscheva, 1964). The range of variability of all characters do not exceed those common to other species.

The study of the only known specimen of adult male skull from Liventsovka sand-pit and its comparison with the description and photographs of the typical *A. meridionalis* skulls from Val d’Arno, Italy, failed to reveal any distinctions.

The skulls of fossil proboscideans are found quite rarely, the taxonomy of Probosidea is based mainly on the characters of their penultimate and especially last molars. To determine exact systematic position of the fossil elephant from Liventsovka and Khapry its molars were compared with the similarly positioned teeth of *A. meridionalis* from the typical locality of this elephant from Italy.

Comparison of data reported in the literature on molars of the typical *Archidiskodon* from Italy (Mayet et Deperet, 1923; Osborn, 1942; Pohling, 1889; Weithofer, 1890, and others) with those obtained by the study of abundant serial material from Liventsovka shows that they are almost completely identical (Table I). This allows to refer the Liventsovka elephant to the nominative subspecies *A. meridionalis* meridionalis (Dubrovko, 1964b).

The assignment of the late Pliocene proboscideans from the USSR to an independent species, *A. gromovi* Garutt et Alexeeva (holotype adult skull from Liventsovka), cannot be accepted, for morphologically they do not differ from *A. meridionalis* of equal geological age of Italy.

The authors of *A. gromovi* pointed out the following specific characteristics: the skull is not high and sagittally elongated, the forehead is narrow, the occipital prominences are feebly marked, the occipital plane and the long axis of the skull are laying at right angle; molars are broad and low, M² consist of 12-13 plates with a frequency of 3.5-5, the enamel is 3-5 mm. thick, P⁴ is present (Alexeeva, Garutt, 1965).

The study of the adult elephant skull from Liventsovka (holotype of "*A. gromovi*") and its comparison with *A. meridionalis* skulls from Italy do not confirm the distinction pointed out for these species. Thus, the absolute height of the holotype skull of "*A. gromovi*" and that of the lectotype skull of *A. meridionalis* and their occipital height/width are much the same, i.e. about 950 mm. and 89% in Liventsovka skull and 980 mm and 91% in the Italian one respectively.

Practically the skulls are equally sagittally elongated so, the diameter/height index in the skull of "*A. gromovi*"
Table 1

Measurements (mm.) and indices (%) of $M_3$ of *Archidiskodon meridionalis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>A. meridionalis</th>
<th>meridionalis</th>
<th>A. meridionalis</th>
<th>taminensis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>USSR</td>
<td>USSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth length</td>
<td>240–298</td>
<td>229–303</td>
<td>252–317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>220–320</td>
<td>257–325</td>
<td>259–328</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth width</td>
<td>85–122</td>
<td>86–112</td>
<td>85–115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80–109</td>
<td>82–122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown height</td>
<td>116–145</td>
<td>127–185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104–124</td>
<td>115–150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete number of plates</td>
<td>11–13</td>
<td>10–13</td>
<td>12–17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11–14</td>
<td>11–13</td>
<td>12–17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminar frequency</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>4.5–6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>2.5–3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel thickness</td>
<td>41.5–47.2</td>
<td>42.3–69.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.7–40.3</td>
<td>41.4–51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height/length index</td>
<td>34–44.6</td>
<td>31.4–44.2</td>
<td>29.8–53.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.8–42.5</td>
<td>28.9–34.5</td>
<td>27.2–42.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width/height index</td>
<td>71.5–91.3</td>
<td>58.6–95.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76–84.6</td>
<td>62.7–86.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Data on $M_3$ are on the line and data on $M_3$ under the line.

is 86% and that of the lectotype of *A. meridionalis meridionalis*, 89%.

The relative width of the forehead in "A. gromovii" and that in the typical *A. meridionalis meridionalis* are the same, the index of the least forehead width to the occipital width being 29% both in Liventsovka skull and in Italian lectotype skull.

The angle between the occipital plane and the long axis of the skull, as well as the degree of development of occipital prominences in the typical *A. meridionalis meridionalis* from Italy, are considerably variable, these features being quite different even in the lectotype and cotype skulls (Osborn, 1942, fig. 865). In the latter, as well as in Liventsovka skull, the occipital prominences are hardly marked.

Comparison of other characters of skull structures also fails to reveal any features to distinguish the species described by L. I. Alexeeva and V. E. Garutt (1965) from *A. meridionalis meridionalis*.

Besides the holotype a calf skull with *pd* from Liventsovka (Alexeeva, Garutt, 1965) was referred to "A. gromovii". A vertical *pd*-replacement is seen in this skull and in those of *P. planifrons* of the same individual age from India. This character is considered to be archaic one and was previously indicated only for *P. planifrons*. As has already been stated the study of large series of teeth from Kharpy and Liventsovka forbids any conclusion about their belonging to two species or even subspecies of elephants. Laminar frequency, enamel thickness, complete number of $M_3$ plates beyond any doubt rule out the possibility of referring the fossils from this locality to *P. planifrons* which is recognized to possess $M_3$ with smaller complete number of more widely spaced plates.

This makes us follow V. I. Gromov, L. I. Alexeeva and V. E. Garutt in supposing that the skull with *pd*-replacement may belong to the genus *Archidiskodon*.

Except for this skull we did not know other skulls or fragments of upper jaw bones with teeth of *Archidiskodon* of this individual age. Consequently, one cannot say how the replacement of *pd* proceeded in the typical *A. meridionalis*, in vertical direction as in more ancient *P. planifrons*, or horizontally, as it was the case in more later elephants. It is also not excluded that the vertical *pd*-replacement, characteristic of Mandon and *P. planifrons*, and observed only in the skull of just one individual of *Archidiskodon* due to atavism. In elephants the atavism seems to find its reflection in the presence of tusks in the lower jaw of *A. celebensis* Hooijer (Hooijer, 1954).

Considering all mentioned above vertical replacement of *pd*-replacement in only one skull from Liventsovka cannot be regarded as a character distinguishing the Kharpy elephant, i.e. "A. gromovii", from the typical *A. meridionalis* from Italy.

The *pd*-replacement in the lower jaws of the Liventsovka calves proceeded horizontally and *P4* is missing.

The molars of "A. gromovii" and the typical *Archidiskodon* from Italy possess a wide and low crown and a thick layer of enamel. Complete number of $M_3$ plates (12–13) indicated for "A. gromovii" is not distinguished from that characteristic of *A. meridionalis meridionalis* from Italy.

Thus, the comparison of the diagnostic characters of "A. gromovii" with those of *A. meridionalis meridionalis* from Italy shows their identity, "A. gromovii" being a junior synonym.

Absence of differences in the skull structure and dentition of the elephant from Liventsovka and other late Pliocene localities of the USSR including the remains
described as *P. planifrons* and *A. gromovi* and in those of typical *Archidiskodon* of Italy suggests that these elephants to the same nominative subspecies *A. meridionalis* (Nesti).

The validity of "*A. gromovi*" has been questioned by V. I. Gromova (1965), L. K. Gabunia and A. K. Vekua (1967).

Probably, *A. meridionalis tarabansensis* Gabunia et Vekua may be considered as somewhat later subspecies of *Archidiskodon*. Only one nearly complete adult male skeleton with a skull is known to be found in the upper Akchayl-lower Apsheron deposits near the village of Taribana in the Caucasus (Gabunia, Vekua, 1963).

Taribana skull differs from that of *A. meridionalis meridionalis* in a number of characters. It has a wide forehead and an elongated postrostral portion (Gabunia, Vekua, 1963). Thus, the calculated index of the smallest forehead width to the width of the occiput is 47% in *A. meridionalis tarabansensis* and about 30%, in *A. meridionalis meridionalis* from Val d’Arno and Liventsovka. The index of the distance between the occipital summit and the lower edge of the nasal opening (along the median line of the skull) to the extreme occipital width makes up 74% in Taribana elephant, near 60% in Liventsovka individual and probably even somewhat less in the holotype of *Archidiskodon meridionalis meridionalis*.

The interalveolar depression in the frontal portion of the Taribana skull extends almost up to the nasal opening and in *A. meridionalis meridionalis* its end lies considerably lower.

All the distinctions stated certainly do not exceed the rank of subspecies. There are no absolute distinctions in M3 structure in Taribana elephant and in that of the typical *Archidiskodon*. It can be only pointed out that the index of the relative crown width of the last molars in Taribana elephant is close to the upper limit of this index in *A. meridionalis meridionalis*.

The relatively small width of molars, great number of conclets of the upper portion of their plates and elongated post-rostral portion of the skull could probably be considered as features of slightly more advanced *A. meridionalis tarabansensis*. It agrees well with its geological age, probably a little more late than that of the typical *Archidiskodon* (Lebedeva, 1974).

It is *A. meridionalis tamanensis* Dubrovo (Plate I, Fig. 1), that is an evolutionary more progressive and geologically more late form of *Archidiskodon*.

This species has been established (Dubrovo, 1964b) by a lot of serial materials from the Siniaya Balka locality in the Taman Peninsula, the type locality of the Taman fauna complex (Gromov, 1948). The study of all molar characters with highly elaborated techniques (Dubrovo, 1969) precluding from any errors which might arise from neglecting the position of teeth, the degree of wearing out, etc., resulted in establishing the variability limits of these characters. A great number of identically ordered and positioned teeth, got from one and the same locality, made possible their biometric study (Dubrovo, 1963c).

![Fig. 1. Archidiskodon meridionalis tamanensis Dubrovo, Holotype M2 N 1338-57, v. Siniaya Balka, Taman Peninsula, USSR; Eopleistocene. × 2/3 approx.](image)

Biometric treatment of data on more than 250 penultimate and last molars has revealed that the variational curves of the principal diagnostic characters (number and frequency of plates, size and proportions of teeth, enamel thickness) are of distinctly monovertext type. It proved all the remains to belong to a single species. The molars of elephants from Siniaya Balka are characterized on the average, by a large complete number of somewhat more closely spaced plates having a less thick layer of enamel, the crowns being often narrower and higher (see Table 1). All this witness to the specimen from Siniaya Balka being more advanced than the typical *Archidiskodon*.

In the number of plates 75% of *M*² and *M*³ from Siniaya Balka differ from all corresponding molars of *A. meridionalis meridionalis* from Italy and Liventsovka; 50% of *M*₄ from Siniaya Balka differ from all Liventsovka *M*₄ as well as from *M*₄ of *A. meridionalis meridionalis* described from Italy.

According to E. Mayr (Mayr *et al.*, 1953) these distinction suffice for a species to be divided in two sub-species. The coefficient of distinction (CD) in the number of plates of *M*³ in *A. meridionalis meridionalis* and *A. meridionalis tamanensis* is 1.8 and it is recommended (Mayr *et al.*, 1953), if the coefficient of distinction...
exceeds 1.28, to refer two populations to two distinct subspecies.

The only skull found in Siniaya Balka belongs to an adult female. Certain distinctions become apparent from its comparison with the female skull of the typical Archidiskodon originally described as belonging to a separate species, *A. lyrodon* (Weithofer).

Very few skulls known to belong to *A. meridionalis*, most of which being either incomplete, like those from Italy, or essentially restored, like the skull of adult elephant from Liventsovka, do not permit to establish the individual variability of their characters. Therefore, it is quite possible that with new materials some of specific distinctions established by the skull characters may well turn out to be only individual ones.

First appeared on the territory of the USSR in its south-eastern part the latest Pliocene and Eopleistocene representatives of the genus Archidiskodon are also known from the Middle Asia, Kazakhstan and Siberia (Beliajeva, 1948; Dubrovo, 1953, 1961; Zhilkibayev, 1963, 1972; Vangengeim, 1975; etc.).

In the late Pliocene subspecies of *A. meridionalis meridionalis* was common in the European and Asiatic parts of the USSR; in the Eopleistocene—that of *A. meridionalis tamanensis*.

The subspecies *A. meridionalis tarabanensis* is known only from the latest Pliocene—early Eopleistocene of the Caucasus.

Distribution of elephants become even wider in the Pleistocene of the USSR.

During the late Pliocene, Eopleistocene and Pleistocene the elephants constantly evolved adapting to the changing environment, i.e. cooling of climate, becoming of more continental type and change of vegetation leading to changes in forage reserves.

In the early Pleistocene the first representatives of the genus *Mammuthus* appeared; the earliest of them being *M. trogontherii* (Pohlig) represented by two subspecies (see Table 2), the early Pleistocene *M. trogontherii trogontherii* (Pohlig) and the middle Pleistocene *M. trogontherii chosaricus* Dubrovo (Dubrovo, 1966).

*M. trogontherii* was first described by the remains from Süssenborn, an early Pleistocene locality in Germany. To the same species Pohlig (1889) referred yet an other elephant, the one from Rixdorf, geologically more late locality. The lectotype of *M. trogontherii* is M₃, from Süssenborn.

Examining the remains of an early Pleistocene elephant from Tiraspol, the locality in Moldavia, USSR, M. Pavlov (1910) defined it as a new species, *E. wisti* Pavlow, pointing out that it was identical to the specimen from Süssenborn but different from the Rixdorf specimens. According to the rules of zoologic nomenclature when dividing a species the old name is preserved by the species that retains the former lectotype. So even in the case of dividing Pohlig's species in two the species from Tiraspol should be named *M. trogontherii* (Dubrovo, 1963b).

The Tiraspol elephant is referred to this species by A. I. David (1964), I. A. Dubrovo (1963, 1971), V. I. Gromova (1965), N. K. Verescagin (David, Verescagin, 1971) and most West-European paleontologists. In the Soviet, mostly in geological literature, however, the name Wusti's elephant is rather common. In this connection comparative study (Dubrovo, 1971) of all materials on the Tiraspol and Süssenborn specimens was conducted (see Table 2) which included biometric examination of molars and gave the quite conclusive proof that the elephants from both localities are taxonomically identical and belong to a single subspecies, *M. trogontherii trogontherii*.

While the Süssenborn elephant is generally recognized as belonging to the genus *Mammuthus*, the Tiraspol elephant is considered by some scientists to be a species of

---

| Table 2 | Measurements (mm.) and indexes (%) of M₃ of *Mammuthus trogontherii* |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
|         | *M. trogontherii* | *M. trogontherii* |
|         | trogontherii      | chosaricus        |
|         | Süssenborn, GDR  | Tiraspol, USSR    |
| Tooth length | 246–406⁴      | 303–370          |
| Tooth width | 73–120         | 86–115           |
| Crown height | 129–212      | 160–211          |
| Complete number of plates | 18–23      | 16–22            |
| Leminar frequency | 4–7      | 5–7              |
| Enamel thickness | 2–3        | 2–3              |
| Height/length index | 47–61.8   | 50.6; 51.7      |
| Width/length index | 27.8–36.3  | 29.1; 33.8      |
| Width/height index | 48–69.8   | 64.1–63.7       |

⁴Data on M₃ are on the line and data on M₃ subline. under the line.
the genus *Archidiskodon*, V. E. Garutt (1971, p. 86) suggests to refer "the early primitive form of Trogontherian elephant to the genus *Archidiskodon" whereas the late advanced form, to *Mammuthus"*. But the structure of the lower jaw and the non-serial disposition of the carpal bones support the reference of Trogontherian elephant to the genus *Mammuthus* (Dubrovo, 1963b, 1971).

Neither can we agree with V. E. Garutt (1971 p. 86) who assigns the Tiraspol elephant to a separate subspecies, *A. trogontherii wistii* (M. Pavl.) on the assumption that "there are enough grounds (geographical remoteness of Süssenborn from Tiraspol, differences in the composition of the associating faunas, etc.) to retain Wűssian elephant as a geographic subspecies of Trogontherian elephant". The indicated characters of taxonomic differences between the Tiraspol and Süssenborn elephants, which are morphologically non-distinguishable (Dubrovo, 1971; Garutt, 1971) can not be accepted. The common areal distribution of *M. trogontherii trogontherii* is also supported by finds in Poland (Kubiak, 1965, and others). Moreover, the study of fossil mammals remains from Tiraspol and Süssenborn has revealed the affinity of Equidae, Cervidae, Bovidae and other groups of mammals from these localities (Gromova, Dubrovo, 1971; Flerov, 1969; Kahlke, 1971, and others).

The Tiraspol elephant has no diagnostic characters different from those of the typical Süssenborn *M. trogontherii trogontherii*, and its belonging to the genus *Mammuthus* is beyond doubt.

The late form of *M. trogontherii*, i.e. *M. trogontherii chosaricus* Dubrovo (figs. 2, 3), is characterized by somewhat more progressive structure. The distinctions between the two subspecies of *M. trogontherii* were established by the molar characters only, as there are no data on the skull of *M. trogontherii trogontherii*. There are differences in the number of plates, their frequency, enamel thickness and crown width (see Table 2). All distinctions are transgressive (Dubrovo, 1966), the rank rising of Chosarian elephant from subspecies to species, suggested by some authors, could not be, therefore, accepted. *M. trogontherii chosaricus* is known from the middle Pleistocene both of European and Asiatic parts of the USSR.

![Fig. 2. *Mammuthus trogontherii chosaricus* Dubrovo. Holotype skull with the lower jaw, v. Cherem Yar, Lower r. Volga region, USSR, Middle Pleistocene. (a) front view; (b) side view; both × 1/20 approx.](image1)

![Fig. 3. *Mammuthus trogontherii chosaricus* Dubrovo. Holotype M₁, v. Cherem Yar, Lower r. Volga region, USSR, Middle Pleistocene. × 2/3 approx.](image2)

Next in the phylogenetic succession is the Mammoth, *M. primigenius* (Blumenbach). There are numerous remains of Mammoth on the Territory of the USSR. In
the late Pleistocene it lived almost all over the country, from the Novosibirsk Islands in the North to the Crimea in the South and from the western State boundary areas to Kamchatka peninsula in East.

Two forms of Mammoth are distinguished now quite clearly: the early and the late one. They differ both morphologically and stratigraphically. The latter form has molars with a greater number of more closely spaced plates higher crown and thinner enamel. All this formed very strong grinding apparatus and pointed to a higher degree of specialization.

Elephants of the phylogenetic line Archidiskodon—Mammoth evolved undoubtedly very rapidly. Within approximately two million years elephants developed from such form as Archidiskodon, which lived in a warm and damp climate and fed on soft and lush vegetation, to Mammoth, a form adopted to live in cold and rather dry tundra-steppe and to feed on tough forage.

This rapid evolution was conditioned by the drastic climatic changes during Anthropogene, which in relatively short period of time, completely altered the environments of elephants in Europe and northern Asia.
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EXPLANATION OF PLATE

PLATE I

Fig. 1. Archidiskodon meridionalis tamanensis Dubrovo. Holotype, female skull N 1358-57; Siniaya Balka, Taman Peninsula, USSR. Eopleistocene. (A) front view, × 1/12 approx.; (B) side view, × 1/10 approx.